Academic Journal of Research and Scientific Publishing | Vol 2 | Issue 22
Publication Date: 5-2-2021 ISSN: 2706-6495

Response of Mungbean [Vigna radiata (L.) WILCZEK] Varietes to Intra and Inter Row Spacing Under Irrigation at Gewane, Northeastern Ethiopia

Nuru Seid Tehulie[1*] and Tarikua Fikadu[2]

Department of Plant Science, Mekdela Amba University, Tulu Awulia, Ethiopia.[1]

Department of Plant Science, Ministry of Agriculture, Awash, Ethiopia[2]

Email: befikrnuru@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Production of mungbean has been expanding in Ethiopia as a result of its high significance as a wellspring of protein and unfamiliar trade income. Determination of proper plant density for the varieties of mungbean can expand its efficiency. Subsequently, a trial was directed to decide the effect of inter and intra row spacing on development, yield components and yield of mungbean varieties under irrigation system. The treatments comprised of factorial blends of three inter row spacing (30, 40, and 50 cm), three-intra row spacing (5, 10 and 15 cm) and two mungbean varieties (N-26 and MH-97) spread out RCBD with three Replications. The main effect of inter row spacing and intra row spacing were profoundly critical and significant on over the ground dry biomass and the most elevated over the ground dry biomass at row spacing of 30 cm (5968.8 kg ha-1) and intra row spacing 5cm 6145.9 kg ha^{-1}).The main effect of variety, between row and intra-row spacing were exceptionally significant on harvest index and grain yield where the most noteworthy collect list was from variety MH-97 (20.91%), inter row spacing of 40 cm which give (21.18%) and intra row spacing 10 cm which give (20.30%) and the most noteworthy grain yield from Variety MH-97 (1117.94 kg ha-1), inter row spacing 40 cm (1213.75 kg ha^{-1}) and intra row spacing 10 cm which give (1151.67 kg ha^{-1}).

Keywords: Mungbean varieties; Row spacing; Seed yield

1. INTRODUCTION

Mungbean is one of the main heartbeat crops, developed from the tropical to sub-tropical zones the world over [1]. It is a significant wide spreading, herbaceous and yearly vegetable heartbeat crop developed generally by conventional ranchers [2].

In Ethiopia, mungbean is generally developed by smallholder ranchers under the dryer minor ecological conditions and the creation limit is lower than other heartbeat. Helpless ranchers in Ethiopia, mungbean are for the most part utilized as food, yet developing it for money age can likewise be significant. An ongoing expansion to the Ethiopian heartbeat creation, it is filled in couple of territories of north showa zone and as of now getting well known in different pieces of the nation particularly as fare crop. Its creation has expanded in Ethiopia from 14,562.00 ha with normal seed yield of 966 kg ha-1 out of 2014/15 editing season [3] to 24,038.85 hectares with normal efficiency of 1.003 t ha-1 out of 2015/16 rain season [4].

Among vegetables, mungbean is noted for its protein and lysine-rich grain, which supplements oat based eating regimens [5]. It is likewise known to be exceptionally solid and pressed with an variety of supplements, for example, nutrient B, nutrient C, protein, manganese and a ton of other basic supplements needed for effective working of the human wellbeing. Mungbean is low in calories and wealthy in fiber and effectively edible yield without cause tooting as occurs with numerous different vegetables ([5].

Mungbean has great potential for crop turn framework, for crops in drier farmland development regions [6] and the capacity to develop on dry and irrigation conditions [7]. Planting of mungbean predominantly happens during summer when adequate downpour is accessible for development yet it is touchy to irrigation logging. It is filled in a few kinds of development frameworks, including sole trimming, intercropping, different editing and hand-off trimming, where it is planted after oats utilizing leftover dampness [8].

Plant density affects early ground cover, serious capacity of harvests with weed, soil surface vanishing, light capture, housing and advancement of an ideal number of fruiting destinations

in a yield overhang. It additionally influences shade advancement, plant engineering, and circulation of pods [9]. In any pod, ideal plant thickness shifts relying upon crop species or varietals contrasts in life, tallness and fanning, season of planting, and the idea of the period [10]. This can likewise rely upon soil type, the executives rehearses, soil dampness, occasional precipitation and so on [9].

Proper varieties and plant spacing are among the main agronomic practices which contribute generously to the seed yield of mungbean. The full yield capability of an individual plant misused when planted at suitable spacing. Yield per plant diminishes continuously as plant populace per unit zone increments. As plant thickness expands the measure of dry issue in vegetative parts likewise increments. Both the organic and monetary yield increment with expanding plant populace up to certain point and accordingly, no expansion in natural yield can be gotten and financial yield diminishes. Thusly, the ideal plant populace of the individual harvest should be worked out under appropriate climate conditions [11].Yield reaction of various plant cultivars to plant spacing under irrigation condition should be known for handy purposes, as planting thickness is a significant administration variable utilized in coordinating yield necessities to the ecological proposal of assets. The yield of mungbean recorded from ranchers' field went from 600-900 kg ha^{-1} under irrigation condition. In any pod, this yield is below the public normal yield (966 kg ha^{-1}) [3] and the possible yield of the harvest 800-1500 kg [12].

One of the significant limitations that limit the creation of mungbean is an absence of ideal plant populaces identified with mungbean cultivars. Diverse mungbean cultivars have distinctive morphological attributes and customary vague mungbean varieties had long development term (90 to 110 days) and required various harvests. As various factors, for example, richness status of the dirt, dampness accessibility, development example of the varieties and social practices effect both inter row and intra row spacing, ideal planting thickness should be resolved to explicit territory and to explicit mungbean varieties through leading area or agro-biology based trial.

Accordingly, the goal of this investigation was to survey the effect of inter and intra row spacing on development, yield parts and yield of mungbean varieties under irrigation system.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Description of the Study Area

The trial was led at Gewane District farming examination site during 2019 editing season. It is situated in Afar Region at 11º11' N scope, 40º1' E longitude, and 386 km North from Addis Ababa. The elevation of the site is around 1503 meters above ocean levels. The exploratory zone is portrayed as a semi-bone-dry climatic zone with a normal yearly precipitation of around 400 mm. The precipitation is whimsical and low because of which crop creation is absurd under downpour took care of condition. The mean yearly temperature of the trial site is around 30 ºC with 39ºC greatest and 22.5ºC least [13].

2.2 Treatments and Experimental Design

The treatments comprised of factorial blends of two mung beanvarieties (MH-97 and N-26) with three inter row spacing (30 cm, 40 cm and 50 cm) and three intra row spacing (5 cm, 10 cm and 15 cm). The investigation was spread out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) and recreated multiple times per treatment in factorial mix with an total of eighteen treatments .

2.3 Experimental Procedure and Crop Management

The exploratory field was furrowed with a farm vehicle to a profundity of 25-30 cm. In the wake of spreading out according to the detail, each plot was leveled and edges were made physically. Each plot was a zone of 3.6 m x 3.0 m (10.8 m2). The spacing among plots and between blocks was 0.8 m and 1 m, respectively. Treatments were doled out to each plot haphazardly. Seven, nine and twelve rows were obliged per plot for 50 cm, 40 cm, 30 cm row spacing, separately. Each row had 60, 30 and 20 plants divided at 5, 10 and 15 cm separated, individually.

The one external most row from each side and one plant from the two finishes of each row were considered as a fringe and one row was utilized for dangerous inspecting. Diminishing was done as needed to keep up the objective populace densities 10 days after development.

2.4 Phenological Parameters

Days to half development was recorded as the quantity of days from planting to when half of the plants arose in each plot. Likewise, days to half flowering was recorded as the quantity of days from planting to when half of the plants created first bloom and 90% physiological development was recorded as the quantity of days from planting to when 90% of the plants demonstrated yellowing of pods .

2.5 Growth and Nodulation Parameters

All out number of nodules: It was controlled by tallying taking a damaging example of ten plants from a net plot at flowering. Roots were painstakingly uncovered with the main part of root mass and nodules. The nodules were isolated from the dirt, washed and the complete number of nodules was dictated by checking. At that point, viable and non-successful nodules were isolated by their shadings where a cross part of a effective knob made with a folding knife show a pink to dim red tone, though a green shading demonstrates non-viable nodulation.

Plant height: It was estimated as the stature of 10 arbitrarily taken plants from the beginning to the zenith of each plant at the hour of physiological development from the net plot territory.

Number of primary branches: It was dictated by checking the quantity of primary branches on the fundamental come from arbitrarily chose 10 plants from the net plot territory.

Number of branches: It was dictated by checking of auxiliary branches on the primary branches from haphazardly chose 10 plants from the net plot territory.

2.6 Yield Components and Yield

Yield stand count: The plants from the net plot zone were tallied in the wake of diminishing and at crop reaping to decide the adjustment in stand include in percent.

Number of pods per plant: It was recorded dependent on ten haphazardly pre labeled plants in each net plot territory and the normal was taken as number of pods per plant.

Number of seeds per pod: The complete number of seeds in ten haphazardly taken pods from the net plot was checked and separated by all out number of pods to locate the quantity of seeds per pod.

Hundred seed weight (g) was controlled by taking the heaviness of hundred haphazardly tested seeds from the complete gather from each net plot region and acclimated to 10% dampness level.

Above ground dry biomass (kg ha-1): At physiological development, from the ruinous rows the over the ground dry biomass of haphazardly ten plants was taken and estimated subsequent to drying till a consistent weight. For getting the absolute over the ground dry biomass, the dry biomass per plant accordingly got was increased by the complete number of plants per net plot and was changed over into kg ha-1. This was utilized to figure the reap list.

Seed yield (kg ha-1): This was recorded from net plot region of each plot after sun drying for 10 days. The grain yield was changed in accordance with the assigned dampness substance of 10%.

Harvest index(HI): It was processed as the proportion of seed yield (kg ha-1) to the absolute over the ground dry biomass.

2.7 Irrigation Management

Irrigation system irrigation was applied by wrinkle and two free irrigation system were given for all treatments consistently prior to beginning irrigation system spans.

Irrigation system irrigation stream rate in to treatment plot was constrained by incomplete flume for every span. With a head (Z) of 18 cm and it have 12.3 l/se release rate at field limit level.

Irrigation system were begun 21days later in the wake of planting or 14 days after rise on this stage the wheat plant which were 15cm long of seedling at beginning stage. Because of these realities irrigation system therapy (application plan) was begun on reference evapotranspiration (ETo), the plant were at seedling stage to be specific grass cover.

2.8 Statistical Analysis

All the deliberate boundaries were exposed to investigation of change (ANOVA) suitable to factorial trial in RCBD as indicated by the Generalized Linear Model (GLM) of SAS 9.0 (SAS, 2004) and translations were made after the system portrayed by [14]. At whatever point the effects of the elements were discovered to be critical, the methods were thought about utilizing the Least Significant Differences (LSD) test at 5% degree of importance.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Crop Phenology and Growth Parameters

3.1.1 Days to 50%flowering

The main effects of variety, inter and intra row spacing and their all associations were not significant on days to half blooming. Variety 'MH-97'with vague erect development propensity was before to blossom (40.10 days) than variety 'N-26' with determinate shrub development propensity took the most elevated number of days (42.42) to bloom (Table 1). In concurrence with this outcome, [15] demonstrated that the main effect of mungbean varieties on days to half blooming was not critical.

Table 1. The main effect of variety, intra row spacing and inter row spacing on days to 50% flowering, days to 90% physiological maturity and plant height of mung bean

Treatment	Days to 50% flowering	Days to 90% physiological maturity	Plant height (cm)
Variety			
MH-97	40.10	74.49[b]	41.72
N-26	42.42	79.86[a]	41.33
LSD(0.05)	NS	1.93	NS
Intra row spacing (cm)			
5	41.96	76.41	44.06[a]
10	42.17	77.35	41.38[ab]
15	42.64	77.77	39.13[b]
LSD(0.05)	NS	NS	3.89
Inter row spacing (cm)			
30	42.18	76.25	43.54
40	42..23	76.70	41.50
50	42.36	78.583	39.53
LSD(0.05)	NS	NS	NS
CV%	4.20	4.53	13.84

LSD (0.05) = Least Significant Difference at 5% level; CV= coefficient of variation; NS= Non- Significant. Means in a column followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different at 5% level of significance according to LSD test

3.1.2 Days to 90% physiological maturity

The fundamental effect of variety demonstrated exceptionally critical (P<0.01) effect on the days to 90% physiological development while the main effect of inter and intra row spacing and all the associations were not significant. Variety MH-97 developed altogether prior (74.49 days) than variety N-26 (79.86 days (Table 1).

The conceivable explanation behind the contrast between the varieties may be determinate cultivars produce extra hubs after introductory blooming. varietal attributes, which is hereditarily controlled and singular varieties have diverse developing propensity, blooming and development days. Past reports likewise indicated that who got critical distinction variety MH-97 to be sooner than varieties N-26 [12]. Primarily [15] detailed that MH-97 was prior in days to flowering and days to development.

3.1.3 Plant height

The main effects of variety and inter row spacing just as any of the collaborations uncovered no significant effect on plant tallness at development, while the main effect of intra row spacing significantly affected plant stature. Variety MH-97 was taller (41.72 cm) than variety N-26 (Table 1). This outcome was in concurrence with [15] who revealed no critical distinction in plant stature between variety MH-97 and N-26.

The plant stature was diminished with the expansion in the intra-row spacing where the most elevated plant tallness of 44.06 cm was recorded at 5 cm and the least tallness (39.13 cm) was from the 15cm intra row spacing (Table 1). Expansion in plant stature with diminishing intra row spacing may be expected to intra-explicit rivalry for the daylight bringing about taller plants. This pattern clarifies that as the quantity of plants expanded in a given region, the opposition among the plants for supplements take-up and daylight interference additionally expanded. In concurrence with this outcome, [16] found that opposition for light in limited spacing that brought about taller plants of mung bean while at more extensive spacing light circulation was ordinary. Primarily, [17] on soybean saw that expanding the thickness of plants of soybean prompted critical expansions in plant tallness.

3.1.4 Number of primary branches

Investigation of change uncovered that main effects of inter row spacing, intra row spacing and the association effect of variety and inter row spacing were highly significant ($P < 0.01$) on the quantity of primary branches per plant while the fundamental effect of variety, the

communication effect of varieties and intra row spacing, inter and intra row spacing and three way collaboration had no significant consequences for the quantity of primary branches per plant.Variety 'MH-97' at 50 cm inter row spacing gave fundamentally most elevated number of primary branches (7.00) while variety 'N-26' at 30cm inter row spacing gave the least number of the primary branch (5.30) (Table 2). This is demonstrated the pliancy reaction of plants to different plant spacing, that is expanded in plant populace is related with a reformist decrease in number of branches though, plants at higher inter and intra row spacing produce higher number of branches. [18] revealed that soybean variety and row spacing demonstrated a significant effect on the quantity of primary branches per plant and announced a higher number of primary branches at more extensive inter row spacing (60 cm and 50 cm) than thin inter row spacing (40 cm and 30 cm).

Table 2. Interaction effect of variety and inter row spacing on a number of primary branches per plant of mungbean

Variety	**Inter row spacing (cm)**		
	30	**40**	**50**
MH-97	4.80^{d}	5.28^{bc}	7.00^{a}
N-26	5.30^{dc}	5.55^{bc}	5.68^{b}
LSD (0.05)	0.73		
CV%	19.8		

Means in columns and rows followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different at 5% level of significance; LSD (0.05) = Least Significant Difference at 5% level; CV= Coefficient of variation.

3.1.5 Number of Secondary branches

The fundamental effect of inter row spacing and intra row spacing had exceptionally critical ($P < 0.01$) consequences for the quantity of auxiliary branches while the main effect of variety and the cooperations had no significant effect on various Secondary branches.

Secondary branches per plant were expanded with increment in inter and intra row spacing. The most elevated mean number of auxiliary branches (9.30) and (8.25) were delivered at the most noteworthy between row spacing of 50 cm and at intra row spacing of 15 cm, individually (Table 3) which may be on the grounds that in wide plant spacing there was a low rivalry among plants for development factors, for example, dampness, supplements, and light, which thus expanded possibility of mungbean plants in creating more branches. [19] Reported comparative discoveries wherein, faba bean, soybean, and basic vetch, individually, decreased the quantity of branches with expanded plant populace. Conversely this outcome, [20] acquired more number of organic product bearing branches (6.24) on mungbean in between row spacing of 30 cm the most reduced number of branches per plant (5.93) from a between row spacing of 60 cm.

3.1.6 Number of total and effective nodules

The total and effective number of nodules per plant uncovered no importance contrast because of the main effects of varieties, inter and intra row spacing and in every one of the two way and three-way collaborations. Absence of reaction in nodulation boundaries may be because of higher complete nitrogen rate in the exploratory soil (Table 3) of the investigation zone, which may restrict nitrogen-fixing capacity of the yield. When all is said in done, the quantity of nodules recorded per plant was low which may be because of the high soil temperature which probably won't be reasonable for the Rhizobium microbes. In accordance with this outcome, [20] announced non-critical effect of between row spacing of plants didn't primarily influence the nodulation cycle on mungbean. [18] Also detailed no significant distinction in row spacing on an all out number of knob per plant. Also, [21] revealed no significant effect of plant densities and varieties of soybean on a complete number of nodules per plant. This outcome was in accordance with that of [20] between row spacing didn't fundamentally influence the nodulation cycle and similarly, the connection among varieties and inter row spacing likewise couldn't influence the quantity of nodules on mungbean.

Table 3. The main effects of variety, intra row spacing and inter row spacing numbers of the total nodules, effective nodules and secondary branches per plant of mung bean

Treatment	Number of total nodules	Number of effective nodules	Number of secondary branches
Variety			
MH-97	6.16	2.19	8.91
N-26	5.99	2.18	8.83
LSD(0.05)	NS	NS	NS
Intra row spacing (cm)			
5	6.53	2.07	8.33[b]
10	6.35	2.29	8.75[b]
15	5.35	2.20	9.53[a]
LSD(0.05)	NS	NS	0.73
Inter row spacing (cm)			
30	6.47	2.27	8.25[b]
40	6.23	2.28	9.05[a]
50	5.52	2.00	9.30[a]
LSD(0.05)	NS	NS	0.73
CV (%)	30.22	27.32	12.21

LSD (0.05) = Least Significant Difference at 5% level; CV= Coefficient of Variation; NS= Non-Significant. Means in a column followed by the same letters are not significantly different at 5% level of significance

3.2 Yield Components and Yield

3.2.1 Crop stand count

Investigation of change uncovered the main effects of inter row spacing, intra row spacing and the collaboration effect of variety and inter row spacing were highly significant ($P < 0.01$) on the quantity of primary branches per plant.

While the main effect of variety, the collaboration effect of varieties and intra row spacing, inter and intra row spacing and three way communication had no significant effects of stand consider at gather contrasted with an underlying tally.

The most noteworthy last percent stand check (97.00%) was recorded from variety MH-97 at inter row spacing 40 cm. while the most reduced last percent stand tally (70.57%) was recorded from variety N-26 at inter row spacing 30 cm.The high percent mortality with moderately higher populace thickness may be because of swarming effect. There might be conceivable outcomes that at smaller inter row spacing plants got swarmed and passed on because of extraordinary rivalry for development assets. Thus, at thin inter row and intra row spacing, there was a lessening in the endurance pace of the plants than at a more extensive spacing. At lower plant populace nearly, the accessibility of more space may have brought about less rivalry for assets (supplements, dampness, and light).

In concurrence with this outcome, [22] revealed expanded plant death rate as thickness of plant expanded for faba bean variety in which the more extensive inter row spacing (50 cm) had the most extreme (93.3%) last stand consider rate contrasted with 40 cm (91.0%) and 30 cm (90.1%) inter row spacing. Additionally, [23] detailed decreased plant rivalry and plant mortality at lower plant populace of faba bean than higher plant populace where more extensive plant spacing (30cm) gave higher level of yield stand tally (91.9%) when contrasted with smaller plant spacing (10 cm) which gave lower level of harvest stand tally (85.5%).

Conversely [20], likewise detailed plant populace was fundamentally influenced by shifting inter row spacing, 30 cm gave the greatest plant populace per unit zone (37.56 %) which was primarily not quite the same as 45 cm between row spacing (28.78 %) and 60 cm (19.44%).

Table 4 Interaction effect of variety and inter row spacing on percent stand count of mungbean at harvest

Variety	Inter row spacing(cm)		
	30	**40**	**50**
MH-97	89.96[a]	97.00[a]	89.44[a]
N-26	70.57[b]	90.51[a]	73.14[b]
LSD(0.05)	59.83		
CV (%)	9.05		

LSD (0.05) = Least Significant Difference at 5% level;CV= Coefficient of Variation;NS= Non- Significant. Means in a column followed by the same letters are not significantly different at 5% level of significance

3.2.2 Number of pods per plant

The main effect of variety, inter, intra row spacing a d the cooperation's effect of variety with inter row spacing and variety with intra row spacing had profoundly critical ($P < 0.01$) effect on the quantity of pods per plant while, the communication effect of inter and intra row spacing and the three way associations were not significant.

The most noteworthy mean number of pods per plant (30.15) was recorded for variety 'MH-97' at 40 cm inter row spacing and 15cm intra row spacing (30.34) and the least number of pods per plant (21.67) was recorded for variety 'N-26' at 30 cm inter row spacing and the most minimal number of pods per plant (22.55) was recorded for variety 'N-26'at 5cm intra row spacing.

The expansion in number of pods per plant at low thickness (more extensive inter and intra row spacing) may be because of the most elevated number of primary branches at a more extensive spacing which may add to creating higher number of pods per plant or as the consequence of higher net absorption rates and decrease of rivalry in more extensive spacing.

In congruity with aftereffects of this investigation, [23] revealed a significant contrast because of seed rate, most extreme number of pods per plant (8.81) was recorded at 25 kg ha-1 and the base number of pods per plant ((4.53) was recorded at 75 kg ha - 1 of mungbean. [20] Also announced that the association of varieties at between row spacing primarily influenced the quantity of pods per plant. Variety NM-98 planted at between row spacing of 30 cm delivered greatest number of pods per plant (17.09) and variety V3 M-1 at inter row spacing of 45 cm created the base number of pods per plant (15.76). Likewise, [24] detailed a critical contrasts because of the main effects of plant spacing where the greatest number of pod per plant was seen at 30 cm × 10 cm spacing (34) trailed by 40 cm x 10 cm spacing and least number of pods per plant was seen at 20 cm ×10 cm (30) on mungbean.

Table 5 Interaction effect of variety and intra row spacing on a number of pods per plant of mungbean

Variety	Inter row spacing (cm)		
	5	**10**	**15**
MH-97	22.55[b]	23.11[b]	31.34[a]
N-26	16.98[c]	18.77[c]	18.89[c]
LSD(0.05)	3.2		
CV (%)	14.73		

LSD (0.05) = Least Significant Difference at 5% level; CV= Coefficient of Variation; NS= Non- Significant. Means in a column followed by the same letters are not significantly different at 5% level of Significance

[23] likewise revealed a significant contrasts because of the main effects of seed rate, seed at 25 kg ha-1 gave the greatest number of pods (8.82) while seed of 50 kg ha-1 gave the base number of pods (6.52).The diminishing pattern of number of pods per plant with expanding seed rate could be ascribed to the opposition existing between the populated harvests for supplements take-up.

The expansion in various pods per plant at more extensive inter and intra row spacing may be because of the most elevated number of primary branches at a more extensive spacing which may add to creating higher number of pods per plant or as the consequence of higher net digestion rates and decrease of rivalry in more extensive spacing. The abatement in the quantity of pods per plant with expanded plant thickness may be because of expanded plant thickness that may have actuated rivalry between the previous and later arose blossoms that could prompt bloom premature birth. Notwithstanding, the development factors (supplement, dampness, and light) for singular plants may be effectively open that held more blossoms and upheld the improvement of sidelong branches.

Table 6. Interaction effect of variety and inter row spacing on number of pod per plant of mungbean

Variety	Inter row spacing (cm)		
	30	**40**	**50**
MH-97	22.33^{bc}	30.15^{a}	24.52^{b}
N-26	16.07^{e}	18.84d^{e}	19.71^{dc}
LSD (0.05)	3.2		
CV (%)	14.73		

LSD (0.05) = Least Significant Difference at 5% level; CV= Coefficient of Variation; NS= Non- Significant. Means in a column followed by the same letters are not significantly different at 5% level of Significance

3.2.3 Number of seeds per pod

The main effects of inter and intra row spacing, just as their two way and three way cooperation, had no critical effect on various seeds per unit. While the fundamental effect of variety had exceptionally critical effect (P<0.01).

Variety MH-97 had altogether higher number of seed per unit (10.08) than variety N-26 (9.41) (Table 7). This may be because of the way that the quantity of seeds per unit was principally managed by the genotypes and, in any pod, variety in the quantity of pods per plant relies upon sort of vegetable species. This outcome was in accordance with the investigation by [15] acquired critical contrast among variety MH-97 (7.8) a n d N-26 (6.4) number of seeds per unit. Likewise, [24] announced that inter row spacing and intra row spacing was non-critical ($P<0.05$). Mathematically a greatest number of seeds per pod at 50 cm and at 15cm (10.02) intra row spacing. [18] Also revealed non-significant effect plant spacing on soybean. Number of seeds per unit is a yield segment that regularly doesn't react to cultivating rates or row spacing. Qualities, for example, various seeds per unit and 100 seed weight, are generally affected by hereditary variables [25]. Additionally, [26] revealed that various seeds per unit were not fundamentally unique because of the main effects of soybean varieties and plant densities.

3.2.4 Hundred seeds weight

The main effect of inter and intra row spacing and all the connection effect of variety, inter and intra row spacing had no critical effect on hundred grains weight of mungbean. While the fundamental effect of variety had highly significant ($P < 0.01$).

Altogether higher hundred seed weight was noticed for variety MH-97 (6.78 g) and the most minimal was gotten for variety 'N-26' (6.35 g) (Table7). The critical distinction on hundred seed weight may be because of seed size of various varieties. This outcome was in accordance with the examination by [15] who acquired primaryly higher seed weight for variety MH-97 (9.1 g) and variety N-26 (5.6 g) on hundred-seed weight.

Despite the fact that the thing that matters was not significant, with expanded inter and intra row spacing , hundred seed weight expanded where the most elevated hundred seed weight (6.73 g) was recorded at the most elevated inter and intra row spacing of 50 cm and 15 cm. The most noteworthy hundred seed weight with expanded spacing may be with the diminished inter plant rivalry that prompts expanded plant limit, for using the ecological

contributions to building lot of metabolites to be utilized in growing new tissues and expanding its yield parts. In more extensive dispersed plants, improved stock of absorbs to be put away in the seed, subsequently, expanded the heaviness of hundred seeds. In concurrence with this outcome, [23] detailed non-significant effect of seed rate on 1000-seed weight, however mathematically a greatest 1000-seed weight (58.73 g) was gotten in seed pace of 15 kg ha-1 followed by 20 kg ha-1 (57.69 g). Also [27] acquired non-significant effect of plant thickness on hundred seed weight of soybean.

Table 7. The main effect of variety, intra row and inter-row spacing on number of seeds per pod and hundred seeds weight of mungbean

Treatment	No. of seeds per pod	Hundred Seeds weight (g)
Variety		
MH-97	10.08[a]	6.78[a]
N-26	9.41[b]	6.35[b]
LSD(0.05)	0.65	0.28
Intra row spacing (cm)		
5	9.42	6.39
10	9.79	6.57
15	10.02	6.73
LSD(0.05)	NS	NS
Inter row spacing (cm)		
30	9.57	6.46
40	9.73	6.48
50	9.92	6.73
LSD(0.05)	NS	NS
CV(%)	9.92	7.82

LSD (0.05) = Least Significant Difference at 5% level; CV= Coefficient of Variation; NS= Non- Significant. Means in a column followed by the same letters are not significantly different at 5% level of Significance

3.2.5 Aboveground dry biomass

The main effects of inter row spacing had exceptionally significant (P<0.01) effect on the over-the-ground dry biomass (kg ha-1) while intra row spacing had a critical (P<0.05) effect. Nonetheless, the main effects variety and every one of the two way and the three way associations were not critical. Variety N-26 gave higher over-the-ground dry biomass (5740.2 kg ha-1) than variety MH-97 (5468.5 kg ha-1) which may be because of the shrub type nature development of variety N-26.

Intra row spacing of 5 cm gave fundamentally most noteworthy dry biomass (6145.9 kg ha-1) than 15 cm inter row spacing (4924.2 kg ha-1). Similarly, the most elevated dry biomass of (5968.8 kg ha-1) was recorded at 30 cm (Table 8). As a rule, as both the intra-row and between row spacing diminished the dry biomass per ha was expanded.

The most elevated absolute dry biomass at the least inters and intra row spacing may be because of more plants per unit region. Dry issue creation per unit territory increments with increments in plant thickness up as far as possible in organic yield. At the point when plants are, generally dispersed vegetative dry issue yields will from the outset will in general increment with inversing plant thickness. This demonstrates that no calculable rivalry is happening between neighboring plants. Plant numbers repay precisely for a decrease in the creation of individual plant.

This outcome was in accordance with the investigation of [23] who detailed a significant contrasts because of seed rate where most extreme mungbean dry biomass was recorded at 50 kg ha-1 which give (2273 kg ha-1) and the base dry biomass was recorded at seed pace of 25 kg ha-1 (2045 kg ha-1). Likewise, [20]) announced that the between row spacing of 30 cm and 45 cm created dry biomass of 4131 and 4003.5 kg ha-1 while more extensive spacing of 60 cm gave least dry biomass (3328.9 kg ha-1).

3.2.6 Grain yield

The main effect of variety, inter row spacing and intra row spacing had highly significant ($P < 0.01$) effect on grain yield while the two and three path communication of variety, inter row and intra row spacing, had no critical effect on grain yield (kg ha-1) of mungbean.

Variety 'MH-97' gave primarily higher grain yield (1117.94 kg ha-1) than variety 'N-26' (935.14 kg ha-1) (Table 8). The better return for variety MH-97 may be because of higher 100 grain weight, higher number of primary branches and number of pod per plant and higher yield stand check rate at reap.

The most noteworthy grain yield (1213.74 kg ha-1) was recorded at 40 cm inter row spacing and the least grain yield (864.56 kg ha-1) was recorded at 50cm inter row spacing. Primarily, the most elevated grain yield (1151.67 kg ha-1) was recorded at 10 cm intra row spacing and the least grain yield (961.14 kg ha-1).was recorded at 5cm intra row spacing. For the two varieties of mungbean grain yield was most noteworthy at 40 cm inter row spacing and underneath or more 40 cm inter row spacing the yield was diminished. Additionally, the intra-row spacing of 10 cm underneath or more 10 cm intra row spacing the grain yield diminished (Table 8). The yield decrease at the limited inter have most elevated grain yield and beneath or more 40 cm intra-row spacing the yield was diminished. This may be because of extreme interplant rivalry for assets, for example, supplements, irrigation and sun based radiation at the tightest spacing. Then again, lower yield at the largest spacing could be because of imperfect populace that may not adequately misuse the development assets.

The aftereffect of this investigation was in concurrence with [23] who revealed critical contrasts because of seed rate where the greatest mungbean seed yield (2273 kg ha-1) was recorded at 25 kg ha-1 and the base seed yield (1600 kg ha1) was recorded at seed pace of 75 kg ha-1. Primarily, [24] significant contrasts because of the main effects of plant spacing where the greatest mungbean seed yield (1259 kg ha-1) was seen at 30 cm × 10 cm spacing while the base seed yield (1135 kg ha-1) was at spacing of 20 cm × 10 cm. [28] additionally announced a critical contrasts in grain yield among various mungbean varieties and plant

thickness where variety BARIMung-2 gave better return (843.70 kg ha-1) than variety BARIMung-3 (783.80 kg ha^{-1}). In addition, they detailed that 30 cm ×10 cm gave better return (1046.00 kg ha^{-1}) and 20 cm × 20 cm gave lower yield (750.50 kg ha^{-1}).

Table 8. The main effect of variety, intra and inter row spacing on aboveground dry biomass (kg ha^{-1}), grain yield (kg ha^{-1}) and harvest index of mungbean

Treatment	ADBM (kg ha^{-1})	GY (kg ha^{-1})	HI (%)
Variety			
MH-97	5468.5	1117.94[a]	20.91[a]
N-26	5740.2	935.14[b]	16.71[b]
LSD(0.05)	NS	218.48	2.50
Intra row spacing (cm)			
5	6145.9[a]	961.14[b]	16.10[b]
10	5742.9[b]	1151.67[a]	20.30[a]
15	4924.2[c]	966.80[b]	20.02[a]
LSD(0.05)	493.5	135.87	3.06
Inter row spacing (cm)			
30	5968.8[a]	1001.32b	17.46[b]
40	5836.9[b]	1213.74a	21.18[a]
50	5007.3[b]	864.56c	17.776[b]
LSD(0.05)	493.5	135.87	3.06
CV (%)	12.99	19.53	24.06

Means in columns followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different at 5% level of significance; LSD (0.05) = Least Significant Difference at 5% level; CV= Coefficient of Variation; NS =Non-significant. ADBM, GY, and HI = aboveground dry biomass, grain yield and harvest index; respectively

3.2.7 Harvest index

Analysis of variance showed that the main effects of variety and intra row spacing had highly significant ($P < 0.01$) effect and the main effect of inter row spacing had a significant effect

(P<0.05) on collect record. Notwithstanding, the two different ways and three-path associations of variety with inter row and intra-row spacing had no critical effect on reap record.

Variety 'MH-97'gave altogether higher collect record (20.91%) than variety N-26 with gather list of 16.71% (Table 8). Higher collect record suggests higher spacing of dry issue into grain. The lower gather record for variety N-26 may be because of its higher over the ground dry biomass than the grain when contrasted with variety 'MH-97' higher reap list esteems are generally connected with early developing cultivars comparative with late developing varieties in light of the fact that various varieties are reacted to various plant populace.

The collect records were higher at inter row spacing of 40 and 50 cm than 30 cm and higher at intra row spacing of 10 and15 cm than 5 cm. The most elevated gather list at the lower plant thickness may be because of less interplant rivalry for assets, for example, supplements, irrigation and sun based radiation when contrasted with high plant thickness that brought about more acclimatize creation and parceling to the grain. This outcome was in accordance with the investigation of [23] announced that significant contrasts because of seed rate, greatest mungbean reap list was recorded at 25 kg.ha-1 and the base Harvest index was recorded at 50 kg ha-1. Primarily, [28] announced that critical contrasts in collect record among various mungbean varieties and plant spacing where variety BARIMung-2 gave higher harvest index (31.38%) than variety BARIMung-3 (29.19%) and 20 cm × 20 cm gave higher gather list (29.28%) and spacing of 30 cm ×10 cm had lower gather list (28.43%). [20] Also detailed a significant distinction among mungbean varieties that went from 26.14% to 11.44%. [24] revealed a critical contrasts because of the main effects of plant spacing where most extreme gather list was seen at 30 cm × 10 cm spacing (32%) while the base collect list (30%) was seen at 20 cm ×10 cm. (30 %) on mungbean. [29] Detailed that Harvest index of soybean diminished with expanded plant populace and recommended that higher harvest index esteems are normally connected with early developing cultivars comparative with late developing varieties on the grounds that various varieties are reacted to various plant populaces.

4. CONCLUSION

Mungbean is expanding a result of its criticalness to human sustenance as a wellspring of proteins, complex starches, nutrients, and minerals. Its significance in decreasing blood cholesterol level and battling ongoing heart illnesses, malignant growths and diabetics are likewise picking up acknowledgment from a human wellbeing perspective. Subsequently, the examination was directed to evaluate the effect of inter and intra-row spacing on development, yield parts and yield of mungbean varieties. Treatments comprised of factorial mixes of two mungbean varieties:(MH-97 and N-26) with three inter row spacing (30 cm, 40 cm, and 50 cm) and three intra row spacing (5 cm, 10 cm and 15cm) and spread out in RCBD with three replication. Results indicated the main effect of variety significantly affected Days to half physiological development, Number of seeds per pod, Hundred Seeds weight and Harvest file. While Plant tallness at development, Number of primary branches, Number of Secondary branches, Number of total and powerful nodules, Aboveground dry biomass were not influenced. The most elevated hundred grain weight (6.78 g), number of seeds per pod (10.08), and collect list (20.91%) were recorded for variety MH-97. over the ground dry biomass (5740.2 kg ha-1) were recorded for variety N-26. Accordingly utilization of MH-97 Mungbean Variety with 40 cm and 10 cm inter and intra row spacing could be considered as a primer suggestion for the investigation territory for a superior Mungbean grain yield. In any pod, to reach at convincing proposal the analysis must be rehashed across various areas and time since, the examination depended on just one season and one area.

5. REFERENCES

[1] Kumar R., Singh Y.V., Singh S., Latare A.M., Mishra P.K. and Supriya 2012. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur nutrition on yield attributes yield of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek). *Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research*, 4 (5): 2571-2573.

[2] Ali M.Z., Khan M.A.A., Rahaman A.K.M.M., Ahmed M. and Ahsan A.F.M.S. 2010. Study on seed quality and performance of some mungbean varieties in Bangladesh. *Int. J. Expt. Agric.***1** (2), 10-15.

[3] CSA (Central Statistical Agency). 2015. Agricultural Sample Survey 2014/2015 (2007 E.C.). Vol- I. Report on Area and Production of Major Crops. *Statistical Bulletin-278.* Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

[4] CSA (Central Statistical Agency). 2016. Agricultural Sample Survey 2015/2016: Report on Area and Production of Major Crops (Private Peasant Holdings, Meher Season). Volume-I, Statistical Bulletin 584, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

[5] Minh N. P. 2014. Different factors affecting to mungbean (*Phaseolus aureus*) tofu production. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development,* 1 (4):105-110.

[6] Ashraf M., Mueen U.D.M. and Warraich N.H. 2003. Production efficiency of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) as affected by seed inoculation and NPK application. Int. J. Agri. Biol. 5(2).

[7] Rahim M.A., Mia A.A., Mahmud F., Zeba N. and Afrin K.S. 2010. Genetic variability, characterassociation and genetic divergence in mungbean (Vigna radiata L. Wilczek). *POJ*, 3: (1):1-6.

[8] Rehman A., Khalil S.K., Nigar S., Rehman S., Haq I., Akhtar S., Khan A.Z. and Shah S.R..2009. Phenology, plant height and yield of mungbean varieties in response to planting date. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, 25 (2): 147-151.

[9] Matthews, P.W., Armstrong, E.L., Lisle, C.J., Menz, I.D., Shephard P.L., and. Armstrong, B.C. 2008. The effect of faba bean plant population on yield, seed quality and plant architecture under irrigation in southern NSW. *Australia Crop Agronomy Journal*, 23: 40-43.

[10] Anderson, W.K., D.L. Sharma, B.J. Shackley and M.F. D'Antuono, 2004. Rainfall, sowing time, soil type and cultivar influence on optimum plant density for wheat in Western Australia. *Australian. Agricultural. Research. Journal*, 55: 921-930.

[11] Singh, N.P. and R.A. Singh, 2002. Scientific crop production. Press Graphics, Delhi-28, India.

[12] MoARD (Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development). 2008. Animal and Plant Health Regulatory Directorate. Crop Variety Register, Issue No. 11. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

[13] ESRDF (Ethiopian Social Rehabilitation Development Fund), 2003. Integrated GewaneWoreda development plan for 10 years (2003-2013), Afar Regional Office, March 2003.

[14] Gomez, K. and Gomez, A. 1984. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. New York: Jhon Wiley and Sons.

[15] Wedajo Gebre, 2015. Adaptation study of improved mung bean (*Vigna radiata*) varieties at Alduba, south Omo, Ethiopia. *Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Management.* 4(8),: 339-342.

[16] Taj, F.H., H. Akber, A. Basir and N. Ullah, 2002. Effect of row spacing on agronomic traits and yield of mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek). *Asian Plant Science. Journal*, 1(4): 328-329.

[17] Shamsi, K. and S. Kobraee, 2009. Effect of plant density on the growth, yield and yield components of three soybean varieties under climatic conditions of Kermanshah, Iran. *Animal and Plant Science. Journal*, 2(2): 96-99.

[18] Mahama Osman. 2011. Growth and Yield Response of Early and Medium Maturity Soybean [*Glycine max* (L) Merrill] Varieties to Row Spacing. A thesis submitted to the Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture of the College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana.

[19] Loss, S., M.K.L. Siddique and A. Crombie, 1998. Response of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) to sowing rate in southwestern Australia, II: Canopy development, radiation absorption and dry matter partitioning. *Australian. Agricultural. Research. Journal*, 100(70): 999-1008.

[20] Rasul, F. Cheema, M A., Sattar, A. Saleem, M. F. and Wahid M.A. 2012. Evaluating the Performance of Three Mungbean Varieties Grown Under Varying Inter-Row Spacing. *The Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences,* 22(4): 1030-1035.

[21] Daniel Markos, Pal, U.R. and Elias Uragie. 2012. Dry Matter Partitioning, Nodulation and Seed Traits of Medium and Late Maturing Soybean Varieties as Affected by Planting Pattern and Plant Density.*Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology*, 2:142-150.

[22] Almaz Gezahegn, Kindie Tesfaye, J.J. Sharma and M.D. Belel. 2016. Determination of optimum plant density for faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) on *Vertisols* at Haramaya, Eastern Ethiopia. *Cogent Food and Agriculture*, 2(1):1-10

[23] Abdolreza Ahmadi, 2016 Response of Mungbean (*Vigna radiata*) to Different levels of density and weed management in Lorestan Province. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 5(10): 383-390.

[24] Yadav, R.D.S., Chaudhary R.K and Kushwaha G.D.2014. Effect of Sowing Time, Spacing and Seed Treatments with *Rhizobium* and Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria on Seed Yield, its Contributing traits and Seed Quality Parameters in Mung bean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). *Journal of Research in Agriculture and Animal Science, Volume 2* Issue 8 (2014) pp: 01-05.

[25] Suhre, J.J., Weidenbenner, N.H., Rowntree S.C., Wilson, E.W., Naeve, S.L., Conley S.P. 2014. Soybean yield partitioning changes revealed by genetic gain and seeding rate interactions. *Agronomy Journal*, 106:1631-1642.

[26] Teshome Gutu, Tamado Tana and Negash Geleta. 2015. Effect of Varieties and Population of Intercropped Soybean with Maize on Yield and Yield components at Haro Sabu, Western Ethiopia. *Science, Technology and Arts Research Journal*, 4(4): 31-39.

[27] Lemlem H/Giorgis, 2011. Effect of N fertilizer and plant density on yield, seed quality, and oil content of soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr) at Hawassa, southern Ethiopia. M.Sc. Thesis Presented to Haramaya University, Ethiopia.

[28] Kabir M.H. and. Sarkar, M.A.R, 2008. Seed yield of mung bean as affected by variety and plant spacing in Kharif-I season . *J. Bangladesh Agriculture. Univ.*, 6(2): 239–244.

[29] Edwards, J. T. and Purcell, L. C. 2005. Soybean yield and biomass responses to increasing plant population among maturity groups. *Crop Science Journal*, 45: 1770–1777.